

ON EXCEPTIONAL TERMINAL SINGULARITIES

S. A. KUDRYAVTSEV

ABSTRACT. The first examples of exceptional terminal singularities are constructed.

Introduction

The exceptional singularity study importance follows from the next observation.

1. If $(X \ni P)$ is an exceptional singularity then the linear system $| -nK_X |$ is to have a "good" member for small n . Actually, we can take $n \in \{1, 2\}$ [7, 5.2] in two dimensional case and $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ [8, 7.1] in three dimensional case.
2. Exceptional singularities are "bounded" and are to be classified.

In this paper we construct the first examples of exceptional terminal singularities.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Professor V.A.Iskovskikh and Professor Yu.G.Prokhorov for useful discussions and valuable remarks. The research was partially supported by a grant 99-01-01132 from the Russian Foundation of Basic Research and a grant INTAS-OPEN 97-2072.

1. Preliminaries

All varieties are algebraic and are assumed to be defined over $\mathbb{C}$, complex number field. We will use the terminology and notation of Log Minimal Model Program and the main properties of complements [1], [5].

Definition 1.1. Let $(X/Z \ni P, D)$ be a contraction of varieties, where D is a boundary. Then a $\mathbb{Q}$ -*complement* of this contraction is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor D' such that $D' \geq D$, $K_X + D'$ is lc and $K_X + D' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$.

Definition 1.2. Let $(X/Z \ni P, D)$ be a contraction of varieties, where D is a boundary.

1. Assume that Z is not a point (local case). Then $(X/Z \ni P, D)$ is said to be *exceptional* over P if for any $\mathbb{Q}$ -complement of $K_X + D$

near the fiber over P there exists at most one (not necessarily exceptional) divisor E such that $a(E, D) = -1$.

2. Assume that Z is a point (global case). Then (X, D) is said to be *exceptional* if every $\mathbb{Q}$ -complement of $K_X + D$ is klt.

Example 1.3. Let $(X \ni P)$ be a singularity. Suppose that there is an effective divisor H such that (X, H) is lc and $\lfloor H \rfloor \neq 0$. Then the singularity is not exceptional. Therefore three dimensional terminal singularity is not exceptional because there is a divisor having only Du Val singularities in the anticanonical linear system $| -K_X |$ [6, 6.4].

Definition 1.4. Let X be a normal lc variety and let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a blow-up such that the exceptional locus of f contains only one irreducible divisor E ($\text{Exc}(f) = E$). Then $f : (Y, E) \rightarrow X$ is called a *purely log terminal (plt) blow-up*, if $K_Y + E$ is plt and $-E$ is f -ample.

Remark 1.5. If $(X \ni P)$ is klt singularity then there is a plt blow-up [2, 1.5].

Theorem 1.6. [4, 4.9] *Let $(X \ni P)$ be a klt singularity and let $f : (Y, E) \rightarrow X$ be a plt blow-up of P . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. $(X \ni P)$ is an exceptional singularity;
2. $(E, \text{Diff}_E(0))$ is an exceptional log variety.

2. The examples of the exceptional terminal singularities

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(f = 0, 0) = (x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2} + x_3^{a_3} + x_4^{a_4} + x_5^{a_5} = 0, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^5, 0)$ be a four dimensional hypersurface singularities, where $(a_1, \dots, a_5) = (2, 3, 11, 17, 19), (2, 3, 11, 17, 23), (2, 3, 11, 17, 25), (2, 3, 11, 17, 29), (2, 5, 7, 9, 11), (2, 5, 7, 9, 13)$. Then they are terminal and exceptional.*

Proof. Consider the first singularity. Let us prove that it is terminal. Since it is given by non-degenerate polynomial then there exists embedded toric log resolution [9]. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that $a_{\mathbf{p}} = \langle \mathbf{p}, 1 \rangle - \mathbf{p}(f) - 1 \geq 1$ for all $\mathbf{p}$, where $\mathbf{p}$ is non-zero vector with integral non-negative coordinates and $\mathbf{p}(f) = \min_{x^m \in f} \langle \mathbf{p}, m \rangle$. The easy way to prove this statement is following. Let $h(d) = \lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil + \lceil \frac{d}{3} \rceil + \lceil \frac{d}{11} \rceil + \lceil \frac{d}{17} \rceil + \lceil \frac{d}{19} \rceil - d - 1$. Let $d = \mathbf{p}(f)$ then $h(d) \leq a_{\mathbf{p}}$. It is enough to check that $h(d) \geq 1$ for all $1 \leq d \leq 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 19$. The later is elementary to prove with the help of the computer program.

The weighted blow-up of $\mathbb{C}^5$ with weights proportional to $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{11}, \frac{1}{17}, \frac{1}{19})$ induces a plt blow-up of our singularity. The obtained

log Fano variety $(E, \text{Diff}_E(0))$ is

$$\left(\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^5 x_i}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1), \quad \frac{1}{2}H_1 + \frac{2}{3}H_2 + \frac{10}{11}H_3 + \frac{16}{17}H_4 + \frac{18}{19}H_5 \right),$$

where $H_i = \{x_i = 0\}$. Let $D = \sum d_i D_i + \sum h_i H_i$ be any $\mathbb{Q}$ -complement of this log variety. In our case it is easy to check that $d_i < 1$ and $h_i < 1$ for all i . If any component D_i of D is a hyperplane section then we can easily show $K_{\mathbb{P}^3} + D$ to be klt. Now let us prove that $(\mathbb{P}^3, D)$ is klt. This question is local. Therefore consider any chart $\mathbb{C}_{y_1, y_2, y_3}^3$. Let $D = \Delta + D'$, where Δ is a sum of hyperplane sections and $D' = \sum d_i \{f_i = 0\}$. Let multiplicity of f_i is equal to n_i . By considering a change of coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^3$ we can assume without loss of generality that $f_i = y_1^{n_i} + \dots$. Consider the deformation $F'_t = \sum d_i t^{-n_i} f_i(ty_1, t^2y_2, t^2y_3)$. For $t = 0$ we have $D'_0 = \{F'_0 = 0\} = \sum d_i \{y_1^{n_i} = 0\} = \sum d_i n_i \{y_1 = 0\}$ and for small $t \neq 0$ we get $D'_t = \{F'_t = 0\} = D'$. By [3, 8.6] it follows that $c(\mathbb{C}^3, \Delta + D'_0) \leq c(\mathbb{C}^3, \Delta + D'_t) = c(\mathbb{C}^3, \Delta + D')$, where c is lc threshold. Since the every component $\text{Sup}(\Delta + D'_0)$ is a hyperplane section then $(\mathbb{C}^3, \Delta + D'_0)$ is klt. Therefore $(\mathbb{C}^3, \Delta + D')$ is also klt. By criterion 1.6 the singularity is exceptional. Divisor $\frac{1}{22}(\lfloor 23 \cdot \text{Diff}_E(0) \rfloor)$ gives a 22-complement of minimal index. The other singularities are considered similarly. They have 24, 34, 34, 22, 28-complements of minimal index respectively. $\square$

Remark 2.2. A minimal index of complementary is bounded for log Del Pezzo surfaces with standard coefficients [7, 7.1]. A hypothesis is that this index is not more then 66. This implies that we can take $\mathbb{Q}$ -complement of special type for four dimensional singularities. Namely, let $d_i = r_i/q_i$ then $q_i \leq 66$. It allows to consider the finite number variants of D .

Conjecture 2.3. *Let $(X \ni P)$ be an n -dimensional ($n \geq 3$) canonical (log canonical) hypersurface singularity. Let f be a log resolution and $\min\{a(E, 0) | f(E) = P\} \geq n-2$. Then there exists a hyperplane section H such that (X, H) is plt (lc). Hence $(X \ni P)$ is not weakly exceptional (not exceptional) singularity [4, 4.8].*

REFERENCES

- [1] Kollar J. et al Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds, Astérisque 211, (1992).
- [2] Kudryavtsev S. A. On purely log terminal blow-ups. Math. Notes **69** No. 6 (2001) e-print math.AG/0111278.
- [3] Kollár J. Singularities of pairs, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **62** (1995) 221–287

- [4] *Prokhorov Yu. G.* Blow-ups of canonical singularities, Algebra. Proc. Internat. Conf. on the Occasion of the 90th birthday of A. G. Kurosh, Moscow, Russia, May 25-30, 1998, Yu. Bahturin ed., Walter de Gruyter, Berlin (2000), 301-317
- [5] *Prokhorov Yu. G.* Lectures on complements on log surfaces, MSJ Memoirs 10, (2001), e-print math.AG/9912111
- [6] *Reid M.* Young person's guide to canonical singularities, Proc. Symp. in Pure Math. 46,(1987), 343-416.
- [7] *Shokurov V. V.* 3-fold log flips, Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **40** (1993) 93–202 & **43** (1994) 527–558
- [8] *Shokurov V. V.* Complements on surfaces, J. of Math. Sci. 102 **2** (2000), 3876-3932. e-print math.AG/9711024
- [9] *Varchenko A. N.* Zeta-function of monodromy and Newton's diagram, Invent. Math. 37,(1976), 253-262.